

1 THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR., SBN 132099
2 tboutrous@gibsondunn.com
3 RICHARD J. DOREN, SBN 124666
4 rdoren@gibsondunn.com
5 DANIEL G. SWANSON, SBN 116556
6 dswanson@gibsondunn.com
7 JAY P. SRINIVASAN, SBN 181471
8 jsrinivasan@gibsondunn.com
9 JASON C. LO, SBN 219030
10 jlo@gibsondunn.com
11 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
12 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA
13 90071-3197 Telephone: 213.229.7000
14 Facsimile: 213.229.7520

15 VERONICA S. MOYÉ (Texas Bar No.
16 24000092; *pro hac vice*)
17 vmoyé@gibsondunn.com
18 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
19 2100 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1100
20 Dallas, TX 75201
21 Telephone: 214.698.3100
22 Facsimile: 214.571.2900

23 Attorneys for Defendant, APPLE INC.

24 MARK A. PERRY, SBN 212532
25 mperry@gibsondunn.com
26 CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN (D.C. Bar No.
27 492089; *pro hac vice*)
28 crichman@gibsondunn.com
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036-5306
Telephone: 202.955.8500
Facsimile: 202.467.0539

ETHAN DETTMER, SBN 196046
edettmer@gibsondunn.com
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
555 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-0921
Telephone: 415.393.8200
Facsimile: 415.393.8306

15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
16 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

17
18 EPIC GAMES, INC.,
19 Plaintiffs,
20 v.
21 APPLE INC.,
22 Defendant.

23 CASE NO. 20-CV-05640-YGR

24
25 **APPLE INC.'S STATEMENT OF RECENT**
26 **DECISIONS**

27 The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3(d)(2), Apple Inc. hereby submits for the Court's consideration
 2 decisions in three cases that were entered after the conclusion of the trial in this case and the parties'
 3 final submissions of their proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law:

4 *Blix Inc. v. Apple, Inc.*, No. 19-CV-1869, 2021 WL 2895654 (D. Del. July 9, 2021). Dismissing
 5 a private plaintiff's antitrust claims against Apple, the district court addressed monopoly maintenance
 6 claims relating to alleged middleware and the requirements for a tying claim in the context of the App
 7 Store.

8 *Federal Trade Commission v. Facebook, Inc.*, — F. Supp. 3d —, 2021 WL 2643627 (D.D.C.
 9 June 28, 2021); *New York v. Facebook, Inc.*, — F. Supp. 3d —, 2021 WL 2643724 (D.D.C. June 28,
 10 2021). Dismissing antitrust claims brought by the FTC and a number of States, the district court
 11 addressed (among other things) the legal framework for refusal-to-deal (including so-called
 12 "conditional dealing") claims, laches, and the relationship between restrictions on interoperability and
 13 allegations of monopoly maintenance.

14 *1-800 Contacts, Inc. v. Federal Trade Comm'n*, — F.4th —, 2021 WL 2385274 (2d Cir. June
 15 11, 2021). Granting a petition for review and dismissing an FTC antitrust administrative ruling, the
 16 Second Circuit addressed (among other things) how the rule of reason should be applied with respect
 17 to agreements relating to the use of intellectual property.

18 True and correct copies of the decisions are attached hereto as Exhibits 1–4.

19
 20
 21 DATED: July 16, 2021

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

22
 23 By: /s/ Mark A. Perry
 24 Mark A. Perry

25 Attorney for Defendant Apple Inc.